

Investment Property Forum Hana Workspaces 70 St. Mary Axe London EC3A 8BE

email ipfoffice@ipf.org.uk web www.ipf.org.uk

Sue Forster email sforster@ipf.org.uk tel 07880 729624

Response by email to dp23-1@fca.org.uk

Investment

Property Forum

5 May 2023

Response to FCA DP23/1 Finance for positive sustainable change: governance, incentives and competence in regulated firms

The Investment Property Forum (IPF) welcomes the opportunity to respond to the Financial Conduct Authority (FCA) regarding DP23/1 (the Discussion Paper).

About the IPF

IPF is a membership organisation of senior professionals, all active in the real estate investment and finance market. The organisation has a diverse membership of around 2,000, which includes fund managers, investment agents, accountants, bankers, lawyers, researchers, academics, actuaries and other related professionals.

The IPF's Mission is to enhance the understanding and efficiency of real estate as an investment, including public, private, debt, equity and derivatives, for its members and other interested parties, including government. We are not a lobby organisation but one of our key priorities is to identify where legislation or regulation has, or will have, an impact on the market and to alert government and our members to any adverse or beneficial issues.

The IPF has long been concerned about real estate sector's significant environmental impact, which is why it established an ESG Group (formerly Sustainability Group) in 2006. The objectives of the Group are to:

- Inform and educate the real estate investment community on the impact of responsible property investment (RPI) approaches, ESG risks and opportunities and related policy responses on the value of real estate investments.
- Identify and promote examples of best practice in RPI.
- Promote common standards pertaining to RPI approaches.
- Support real estate investment professionals in understanding their role in the transition to a low carbon economy.

Approach to this response

We have collaborated with the Association of Real Estate Funds (AREF), British Property Federation (BPF) and European Association for Investors in Non-Listed Real Estate Vehicles (INREV), to produce a real estate focussed response to this Discussion Paper.

We understand the FCA's interest in how firms' governance structures can contribute to the transition to a net zero economy and support a more sustainable long-term future.

Our response has a real estate focus. In the past decade, the sustainability challenges of the real estate industry have increasingly been in the spotlight. <u>According to the World Economic Forum</u>, buildings account for nearly 40% of global greenhouse gas emissions, 50% of the world's energy consumption and 40% of raw



material use. The UK's Department for Business, Energy & Industrial Strategy (BEIS) states¹ that one of the most difficult decarbonisation challenges the nation faces is the built environment and that commercial (including industrial) buildings pose a particular challenge as they account for a third of UK emissions from the built environment.

The buildings use very different amounts of energy, usually dependent on the size of the building. In England and Wales, only 7% of the buildings are larger than 1,000sqm; however, they consume over 53% of all the energy used by commercial buildings and are responsible for the associated carbon emitted from these buildings. Forecasts indicate that approximately 80% of all UK buildings in 2050 have already been built, so a major task is retrofitting existing buildings and determining how to measure and report these decarbonisation efforts.

We would make the following key points:

- An issue that is common to many of the proposals for regulation of ESG disclosures is that it is extremely challenging, if not impossible, for managers of real estate funds to comply. This was a point that we made strongly in our detailed comments on FCA consultation CP22/20 regarding Sustainability Disclosure Requirements (SDR) and investment labels and we are therefore pleased that on 29th March, the FCA announced that due to the high volume of responses and the comments received, it is delaying the publication of the policy statement until the third quarter and that it is revisiting some of the matters covered, in particular how different assets classes might qualify for the labels. This has knock-on implications for this Discussion Paper and we would hope that any move towards further regulation is delayed until after proposals already in the pipeline, including SDR, have been finalised;
- A particular area where further work is required to make it more suitable for implementation in the real estate sector is the UK Stewardship Code 2020. The Stewardship Working Group set up by the Asset Management Taskforce recommended that the Investment Association develop best practice for private assets. We believe that best practice developed by industry associations rather than additional regulation is the best route to developing this further;
- Real estate alternative investment funds (AIFs) are often managed by small fund managers. Proportionality in the application of any rules is therefore a key consideration for the real estate investment management industry.

Fund and asset managers (on behalf of their investors) hold real estate – whether in the UK or elsewhere – via a range of structures: listed and unlisted. We look forward to engaging with you to explain the range of structures with proposals on how regulation should apply appropriately to asset managers who would be subject to the regulation. By way of context, the structures may have real estate-specific characteristics and differ from structures for other alternative assets classes.

We note the FCA's intention for this Discussion Paper to act as an early-stage conversation about how UK fund managers are preparing for the sustainability challenges and welcome the FCA's constructive engagement with industry about the areas addressed in the Discussion Paper. We highlight the need for proportionate and balanced accountability around the delivery of fund managers' sustainable investment

¹ <u>BEIS – Introducing a Performance Based Policy Framework in large Commercial and Industrial Buildings in England and Wales</u>



objectives and commitments – and appropriate according to the size and resources of the fund manager (which may range from large to SMEs).

We suggest that the FCA considers carefully whether further regulation on governance, incentives, and competence within member firms is truly the best course of action at this time, taking into account:

- Real estate sustainable investment is developing at a pace. It is important that fund managers are operating in an environment where there is flexibility and room for evolution and innovation. Given different firm models and strategies, adopting more prescriptive rules and particularly a one-size-fits-all approach could stifle innovation and damage the UK's ability to achieve its net-zero commitments.
- Secondly, regulation already in place across a number of areas in the Discussion Paper is sufficient, and as such, any further regulation is not only unnecessary but could create confusion amongst regulated firms. For example, the FCA's rules on climate disclosures by asset managers in line with the Taskforce on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD), are not just a reporting exercise, but a tool for companies to consider how they are governing risks and opportunities. The FCA Handbook already requires that communication regarding financial products is clear, fair and not misleading. We understand this will be reiterated in the FCA's proposed 'anti-greenwashing rule' proposed in its 2022 consultation on Sustainability Disclosure Requirements and Investment Labels.
- The implementation of the EU's AIFMD delegated acts within the industry already clarifies how asset managers should integrate sustainability risks and, where relevant, other sustainability factors in the areas of organisational requirements, operating conditions, risk management and product governance. The EU co-legislators have adopted a high-level principles-based approach, amending existing provisions within sectoral delegated acts, most of which, if not all, already exist within the FCA Handbook. This approach clarifies that firms should take into account material sustainability risks and opportunities when complying with existing rules as opposed to proposing new ones.

Below we outline some of the practical challenges – and industry solutions – that in our view suggest there is not a need for more prescriptive requirements at this stage.

Objectives, purpose, business and strategy

Many fund managers already assess and integrate material sustainability risks, opportunities and impacts into their operations and financing activities. Larger firms will often have specialised ESG teams who will develop sustainable investment frameworks and will work with portfolio managers to consider ESG risks.

The Discussion Paper notes that an increasing number of firms are committed to sustainable objectives and that more than 500 firms across more than 50 jurisdictions have voluntarily signed up to the Glasgow Finance Alliance for Net Zero (GFANZ).

Data: a key challenge

A key challenge relates to data. In relation FCA CP 22/20, we are pleased to have engaged with the FCA in submitting proposals, dated 13th January 2023², in response to a dialogue with – and request from – the FCA

² <u>https://www.aref.org.uk/uploads/assets/40b88c44-cd3f-4c90-9b19d672c6c56b55/Submission-to-FCATCFDISSB-Proposals-ESG-Metrics-for-Real-Estate13-January-2023.pdf</u>



for the real estate industry sectors' views/suggestions/input, principally aimed at a set of best practice principles in support of consistent reporting and disclosure transparency:

- to inform the development of real estate-specific metrics that enable consistent, transparent, and comparable reporting and disclosure for real estate portfolios and covering all real estate asset classes (committed via equity and/or debt); and
- that are aligned with TCFD guidelines (and intended to supplement the PRI Technical Guide: TCFD for real asset investors) and the evolving SDR, where we understand no industry template for real estate is proposed.

These principles and real estate specific metrics aim to facilitate consistency of disclosures across the EU and UK as well as internationally where the TCFD's recommendations will apply. While the principles are aimed at supporting consistent reporting and disclosure by international asset managers, local supplements may be appropriate or needed for domestic real estate-specific metrics. In the context of realising this aim, we look forward to progressing the dialogue with the FCA.

Governance of investor stewardship to influence positive change

In general, stewardship can be split into three areas that form an overall approach: (1) corporate engagement; (2) proxy voting; and (3) collaboration (i.e. moving the industry forward).

Many firms have specific internal teams which focus on engagement and ESG to help embed sustainability considerations in the dialogue with investors.

There is often a presumption that engagement means influence and this is reflected in an implicit bias in fund investors' due diligence questions, which often ask for objectives, timelines, outcomes and escalation processes. There is also a presumption that if there is not a lot of quantity of engagement for influence, then firms are not undertaking engagement. When considering regulatory measures to encourage effective stewardship, it is important to note that engagement for the purposes of insight is legitimate engagement and it is the quality, rather than quantity, of engagement that is important.

We would like to discuss with you the appropriate approaches to stewardship in a real estate and real estate funds context, given that several of our members have opted to comply with the UK Stewardship Code 2020³.

In November 2020, a report '<u>Investing for Purpose</u>' was published. This was the output from a Stewardship Working Group set up by the Asset Management Taskforce to make proposals for how stewardship and responsible investment could be strengthened in the UK. Recommendation 4 within this report states:

'More emphasis is needed on stewardship in private markets. Asset owners and investment managers should identify common criteria for best practice stewardship in private asset classes. The IA should consider the quality of UK Stewardship Code disclosures in relation to private markets and identify how to progress best practice stewardship in this asset class.'

We understand that AREF plans to work with the IA to develop a best practice framework for-real estate as an asset class and have offered to join in this project.

Stewardship is an area that is still evolving and currently we do not believe that there is a need for additional regulatory measures to encourage effective stewardship, given existing expectations of firms.

³ <u>https://www.frc.org.uk/investors/uk-stewardship-code</u>



Future regulatory expectations and guidance

This is a rapidly evolving environment, and we believe it is not appropriate at this stage to elaborate additional regulatory requirements in areas such as senior management responsibilities; or linking renumeration and sustainability-linked objectives. Firms need the opportunity to evolve in way that best suits their investment objectives and the requirements of their underlying investors.

Additionally, were any rules or guidance introduced, they should be proportionate to the size of firm. Any future regulations or guidance on these topics should focus on setting out minimum standards rather than attempting to adopt an approach which may not be achievable by all. Setting out minimum standards would make regulations achievable for the smallest firms while not restricting larger firms with the ability to do more.

We appreciate the FCA's focus on this topic and would be happy to discuss any aspect of this submission in further detail, particularly in terms of it applying to fund and assets managers operating within the real estate sector.

the Forster

Sue Forster, Chief Executive, Investment Property Forum